

# Axiomatic characterizations of some simple risk-sharing rules

joint work with Jan Dhaene, Rodrigue Kazzi, KU Leuven

*UConn Actuarial Science Seminar*

Storrs, Connecticut

11 November 2024

Emiliano A. Valdez  
UConn Department of Mathematics

Axiomatic  
characterizations of  
some simple  
risk-sharing rules

Emiliano A. Valdez



Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks

# Risk-sharing arrangements

- Risk-sharing arrangements involve multiple participants agreeing to share the costs or losses associated with uncertain events across themselves.
  - Agreements could be formal or informal.
  - Participants can be individuals, communities, businesses, organizations, or governments.
- There are several reasons for getting into such arrangements:
  - They help reduce the financial impact of adverse events on any single person or entity.
  - Because risk is spread, there is a more efficient use of resources.
  - Risk-sharing encourages a stronger, more resilient communities and partnerships.
  - Such arrangements enable participants to access more resources, opportunities, or markets otherwise considered too risky.
  - They make investments of large-scale projects, such as infrastructure that may involve significant risks, become possible.



## Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

## Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

## RS rules considered

Examples

## Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

## Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

## Concluding remarks

Thanks

# Examples of risk-sharing arrangements

- Centralized insurance
  - Traditional insurance and government-run programs, with decisions made by a centralized authority and regulated by government bodies.
- Decentralized insurance
  - Modern insurance model without the reliance of a traditional insurer or intermediary.
  - Mutual aid societies, Takaful (Islamic insurance), Peer-to-peer (P2P) insurance, DAO-governed insurance (decentralized autonomous organizations), Smart contract-based insurance.
- Parametric insurance, coverage that pays out a predetermined amount based on a specific trigger, can be centralized or decentralized.
- The growth of decentralized insurance schemes has heightened the importance for defining risk-sharing rules.



- All random variables (r.v.'s) are defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .
- Let  $\chi$  be an appropriate set of r.v.'s on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .
  - Interpret  $\chi$  as the collection of losses (risks) of interest.
  - $\chi$  is assumed to be a convex cone of r.v.'s on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  so that it is closed under positive scalar multiplication and under addition.
  - Assume that 0 is in  $\chi$ .
  - Depending on the situation at hand,  $\chi$  could be  $L^1$  or  $L^2$  or  $L^\infty$ , or the set of all r.v.'s, denoted as  $L^0$ .
  - Also, for any  $L^p$ , the subset  $L^p_+$  consisting of all non-negative elements of  $L^p$  might be a suitable choice.
  - We will always silently assume that  $\chi$  only contains non-negative r.v.'s (losses), although several results we present hereafter remain to hold (or can easily be adapted) when this non-negativity restriction is not made.



- Each economic agent, labeled  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , faces a random loss  $X_i$  at time 0, and would incur a loss equal to the realization of  $X_i$  at time 1.
- $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is the loss vector with cdf  $F_{\mathbf{X}}$  and marginals  $F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, \dots, F_{X_n}$ , respectively.
- The total or aggregate loss experienced by the  $n$  agents is

$$S_{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

- For any pool  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^n$  with aggregate loss  $S_{\mathbf{X}}$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{X}}$ , a **reallocation** of  $\mathbf{X}$ , is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{X}} = \left\{ (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) \in (L^0)^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = S_{\mathbf{X}} \right\}.$$



## Risk-sharing

- Risk-sharing (RS) within a pool  $\mathbf{X} \in \chi^n$  is a two-stage process:
  - *ex-ante step* (Time 0):  $X_i$  within the pool are reallocated by transforming  $\mathbf{X}$  into another random vector  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}] \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{X}}$ :

$$\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}] = (C_1[\mathbf{X}], C_2[\mathbf{X}], \dots, C_n[\mathbf{X}]).$$

- *ex-post step* (Time 1): each participant receives the realization of  $X_i$  from the pool and pays the realization of  $C_i[\mathbf{X}]$  to the pool.
- $C_i[\mathbf{X}]$  is referred to as the contribution of participant  $i$  to the pool, while  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$  is called the contribution vector.
- The risk-sharing process must satisfy the **full allocation condition**:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n C_i[\mathbf{X}] = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

- One perspective for this condition is by re-writing:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - C_i[\mathbf{X}]) = 0.$$

Some will lose, but some will gain.



## Risk-sharing (RS) rule

- A risk-sharing rule for a given group of  $n$  participants, each with losses in  $\chi$ , is a mapping  $\mathbf{C}$  that transforms any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  in  $\chi^n$  into a contribution vector  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$  in  $\mathcal{A}_\chi$ :

$$\mathbf{X} \in \chi^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}] \in \mathcal{A}_\chi.$$

- $\mathbf{C}$  is a more general function from  $\chi^n$  to (a subset of)  $(L^0)^n$ , not just from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to (a subset of)  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- At time 0,  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$  is a random vector, depending on  $\mathbf{X}$  and potentially on other sources of randomness.
  - Thus,  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$  is not necessarily measurable with respect to  $\sigma(\mathbf{X})$ .
- The RS rule is established and defined at time 0, before any losses occur.
- It establishes how the aggregate loss, observable at time 1, will be shared among participants.
  - Designing and implementing the suitable RS rule is crucial for ensuring success and sustainability of the RS arrangement.





## Some examples of RS rules

- Self-insurance RS rule:

$$\mathbf{C}^{\text{si}}[\mathbf{X}] = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n).$$

- Order statistics RS rule:

$$\mathbf{C}^{\text{ord}}[\mathbf{X}] = (X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}).$$

- All-in-one RS rule:

$$\mathbf{C}^{\text{all}}[\mathbf{X}] = (S_{\mathbf{X}}, 0, \dots, 0).$$

- Mean-proportional RS rule:

$$\mathbf{C}^{\text{mean}}[\mathbf{X}] = \left( \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_1]}{\mathbb{E}[S_{\mathbf{X}}]} S_{\mathbf{X}}, \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_2]}{\mathbb{E}[S_{\mathbf{X}}]} S_{\mathbf{X}}, \dots, \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}{\mathbb{E}[S_{\mathbf{X}}]} S_{\mathbf{X}} \right).$$

- Conditional mean RS rule:

$$\mathbf{C}^{\text{cmrs}}[\mathbf{X}] = (\mathbb{E}[X_1 | S_{\mathbf{X}}], \mathbb{E}[X_2 | S_{\mathbf{X}}], \dots, \mathbb{E}[X_n | S_{\mathbf{X}}]).$$



## Existing literature

- Risk-sharing has roots in actuarial science and insurance:
  - Concept dates back to ancient Babylon, around 1750 BCE, in the *Code of Hammurabi*.
- [Denuit, Dhaene, and Robert \(2022\)](#) explore properties of several risk-sharing methods including CMRS, introduced in [Denuit and Dhaene \(2012\)](#).
- [Denuit and Robert \(2021\)](#) analyze risk sharing rules, including linear risk-sharing rules, for large pools with heterogeneous losses, including P2P setups.
- [Levantasi and Piscopo \(2022\)](#) and [Clemente, Levantasi, and Piscopo \(2023\)](#) examine P2P insurance with safety margins for fluctuating total losses.
- [Dhaene, et al. \(2024\)](#) introduce the quantile RS rule.
- [Feng, Liu, and Taylor \(2023\)](#) propose a P2P model using convex programming for fair risk-sharing, with focus to flood risk pooling.
- [Ghossoub, Zhu, and Chong \(2024\)](#) investigate RS allocations that account for the risk appetite of each participant with respect to tail events.



- **Axiomatic foundations** of decision-making rules like insurance pricing have been widely explored, e.g., [Wang, Young, and Panjer \(1997\)](#), but limited on RS rules.
- [Denuit, Dhaene, and Robert \(2022\)](#) consider an extensive list of properties that RS rules might obey.
- [Jiao, et al. \(2022\)](#) provide an axiomatic characterization of the CMRS rule.
- [Dhaene, et al. \(2024\)](#) present an axiomatic characterization of the quantile RS rule.
- Our work expands on this by developing axiomatic frameworks for some simple RS rules.
  - Constructing RS rules using an axiomatic approach is just one approach; another is solving an optimization problem as in [Yang and Wei \(2024\)](#).





## RS rules characterized in our paper

- Uniform RS rule:

$$\mathbf{c}^{\text{uni}}[\mathbf{X}] = \left( \frac{S_{\mathbf{X}}}{n}, \frac{S_{\mathbf{X}}}{n}, \dots, \frac{S_{\mathbf{X}}}{n} \right).$$

- Class of  $q$ -proportional RS rule:

$$\mathbf{c}^{\text{prop}}[\mathbf{X}] = \left( \frac{q[X_1]}{\sum_{k=1}^n q[X_k]} S_{\mathbf{X}}, \frac{q[X_2]}{\sum_{k=1}^n q[X_k]} S_{\mathbf{X}}, \dots, \frac{q[X_n]}{\sum_{k=1}^n q[X_k]} S_{\mathbf{X}} \right),$$

for risk metric  $q : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ , and for any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  with at least one  $q[X_j] > 0$ .

- Class of  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS rule:

$$\mathbf{c}^{\text{lin}}[\mathbf{X}] = \left( q_1[X_1] + \frac{q_2[X_1, S_{\mathbf{X}}]}{\sum_{k=1}^n q_2[X_k, S_{\mathbf{X}}]} (S_{\mathbf{X}} - \sum_{k=1}^n q_1[X_k]), \right. \\ \left. \dots, q_1[X_n] + \frac{q_2[X_n, S_{\mathbf{X}}]}{\sum_{k=1}^n q_2[X_k, S_{\mathbf{X}}]} (S_{\mathbf{X}} - \sum_{k=1}^n q_1[X_k]) \right),$$

for risk metrics  $q_1 : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  and  $q_2 : \chi^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ , and for any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  where denominator is not zero.



## Examples of $q$ -proportional RS rules

- Mean-proportional RS rule:

$$C_i^{\text{mean}}[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_i]}{\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_k]} S_{\mathbf{X}}$$

- Variance-proportional RS rule:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{\text{var}(X_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^n \text{var}(X_k)} S_{\mathbf{X}}$$

A variation to this is using standard deviation.

- Scenario-based proportional RS rule:

$$C_i^{\text{scen,prop}}[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{X_i(\omega^*)}{\sum_{k=1}^n X_k(\omega^*)} S_{\mathbf{X}},$$

where  $\omega^*$  represents a state of the world.

## Illustration: scenario-based proportional RS

Consider a pool of 4 participants who agreed to share damages incurred after a hurricane. The group agreed to share damages proportionately according to the preset scenario  $\omega^*$  measured by wind scale of at least 3:

wind scale $\omega$	$X_1(\omega)$	$X_2(\omega)$	$X_3(\omega)$	$X_4(\omega)$	$S_X(\omega)$
1	1	1	1	1	4
2	2	4	5	9	20
$\geq 3 \omega^*$	5	9	15	31	60

- The hurricane resulted in a wind scale of 2.
- The damage vector is (2, 4, 5, 9).
- The total damage is 20.
- The contribution vector will be (1.67, 3.00, 5.00, 10.33).





## Examples of $(q_1, q_2)$ -proportional RS rules

- Covariance-based linear RS rule:  $q_1[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ ,  $q_2[X_i, S] = \text{cov}[X_i, S]$

$$C_i^{\text{cov}}[\mathbf{X}] = \mathbb{E}[X_i] + \frac{\text{cov}(X_i, S_{\mathbf{X}})}{\text{var}(S_{\mathbf{X}})}(S_{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbb{E}[S_{\mathbf{X}}])$$

- Variance-based linear RS rule:  $q_1[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i]$ ,  $q_2[X_i, S] = \text{var}(X_i)$

$$C_i^{\text{var}}[\mathbf{X}] = \mathbb{E}[X_i] + \frac{\text{var}(X_i)}{\sum_{k=1}^n \text{var}(X_k)}(S_{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbb{E}[S_{\mathbf{X}}])$$

- Scenario-based linear RS rule:  $q_1[X_i] = X_i(\omega^*)$ ,  
 $q_2[X_i, S] = (X_i(\bar{\omega}) - X_i(\underline{\omega}))(S(\bar{\omega}) - S(\underline{\omega}))$

$$C_i^{\text{scen, lin}}[\mathbf{X}] = X_i(\omega^*) + \frac{X_i(\bar{\omega}) - X_i(\underline{\omega})}{S_{\mathbf{X}}(\bar{\omega}) - S_{\mathbf{X}}(\underline{\omega})}(S_{\mathbf{X}} - S_{\mathbf{X}}(\omega^*)),$$

where  $\omega^*$ ,  $\bar{\omega}$ , and  $\underline{\omega}$  represents three states of the world.

- A reshuffle of pool  $\mathbf{X}$  is a random vector  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$  defined by

$$\mathbf{X}^\pi = (X_{\pi(1)}, X_{\pi(2)}, \dots, X_{\pi(n)}),$$

where  $\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n))$  represents a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- **Reshuffling property:** A RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the reshuffling property if for any  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^n$  and any of its reshuffles  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$ , the following holds:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}^\pi] = C_{\pi(i)}[\mathbf{X}], \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- Consider the pool  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$  and the reshuffle  $\mathbf{X}^\pi = (X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2)$ . According to the reshuffling property, contribution vector must be

$$\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}^\pi] = (C_3[\mathbf{X}], C_4[\mathbf{X}], C_1[\mathbf{X}], C_2[\mathbf{X}]).$$

- Losses and contributions are interconnected such that when participants exchange their individual losses, their contributions are exchanged correspondingly.



## Source-anonymous contributions

The contributions of a RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  are said to be **source-anonymous** if, for any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  and any of its reshuffles  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$ , it holds that

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}^\pi] = C_i[\mathbf{X}] \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- Source-anonymity of a risk-sharing rule means that the contributions are not tied to who specifically incurs the losses  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .
- Consider the pool  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$  and any reshuffle, say,  $\mathbf{X}^\pi = (X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2)$ . For source-anonymous contributions, contribution vector must be

$$\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}^\pi] = (C_1[\mathbf{X}], C_2[\mathbf{X}], C_3[\mathbf{X}], C_4[\mathbf{X}]).$$

- Contributions are determined by the individual losses, but the source of these individual losses is irrelevant for determining these contributions.
- The order statistics RS rule satisfies this property.





## Aggregate contributions

A RS rule is said to have **aggregate contributions** if for any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  there exists a function  $\mathbf{h} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the contributions of  $\mathbf{X}$  are given by:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}] = h_i(S_{\mathbf{X}}) \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- Randomness of the contributions is solely due to the randomness of the aggregate loss.
- Contributions  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$  are measurable with respect to  $\sigma(S_{\mathbf{X}})$ .
- Only realization of  $S_{\mathbf{X}}$  is revealed; no need to reveal realizations of  $X_i$ 's. But, it may involve risk metrics of  $X_i$ 's such as their means.
- Also referred to as '*non-olet*' property. See [Borch \(1960\)](#) and [Feng \(2023\)](#).



## Strongly aggregate contributions

A RS rule is said to have **strongly aggregate contributions** if there exists a function  $\mathbf{h} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that contributions of any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  are given by:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}] = h_i(S_{\mathbf{X}}) \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- Contributions  $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$  are also measurable with respect to  $\sigma(S_{\mathbf{X}})$ .
- Indeed, strongly aggregate are also aggregate contributions, but not vice versa.
- The function  $h_i$  that tells us how to divide the aggregate loss across participants is the same across different risk pools.
- Uniform RS rule has strongly aggregate and hence, aggregate contributions.
- Mean-proportional RS rule has aggregate but not strongly aggregate contributions.



# Risk-sharing rules satisfying the various properties



Table 1: Properties of some risk-sharing rules

Risk-sharing (RS) rules	Reshuffling	Source-anonymous contributions	Aggregate contributions	Strongly aggregate contributions
Order statistics RS	—	✓	—	—
Conditional mean RS	✓	—	✓	—
Mean-proportional RS	✓	—	✓	—
Scenario-based proportional RS	✓	—	✓	—
Scenario-based linear RS	✓	—	✓	—
All-in-one RS	—	✓	✓	✓
Uniform RS	✓	✓	✓	✓

Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks

# Characterizing the uniform RS rule

**Theorem 1:** Risk-sharing rule  $\mathbf{C}$  is the uniform RS rule if and only if it satisfies the following two axioms:

- 1:  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the reshuffling property.
- 2:  $\mathbf{C}$  has source-anonymous contributions.

- Axioms 1 and 2 are independent.

**Theorem 2:** Risk-sharing rule  $\mathbf{C}$  is the uniform RS rule if and only if the following two axioms hold:

- 1:  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the reshuffling property.
- 3:  $\mathbf{C}$  has strongly aggregate contributions.

- Axioms 1 and 3 are independent.





## Modifying the axioms for $q$ -proportional RS rule

**Source-anonymous contribution-over- $q$  ratios** The contribution-over- $q$  ratios of a RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  are said to be source-anonymous if, for any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  and any reshuffling  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$ , the following holds:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}^\pi] = \frac{q[X_{\pi(i)}]}{q[X_i]} C_i[\mathbf{X}] \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{with } q[X_i] > 0.$$

**Strongly aggregate contribution-over- $q$  ratios** Consider normalized and additive risk metric  $q: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ . A RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  in  $\mathcal{X}^n$  has strongly aggregate contribution-over- $q$  ratios if there exists a function  $\mathbf{h}: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for any  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^n$  with at least one  $q[X_j] > 0$ , the contributions are given by:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}] = q[X_i] \times h_i(S_{\mathbf{X}}, q[S_{\mathbf{X}}]), \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

- Risk metric  $q: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  is said to be normalized if  $q[0] = 0$  and additive if  $q[\sum_{k=1}^n X_k] = \sum_{k=1}^n q[X_k]$ , for any  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^n$ .



**Theorem 3:** Consider the risk metric  $q : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ . A RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  in  $\chi^n$  is the  $q$ -proportional RS rule if and only if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1.  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the reshuffling property.
4.  $\mathbf{C}$  has source-anonymous contribution-over- $q$  ratios.

- Axioms 1 and 4 are independent.

**Theorem 4:** Consider the normalized and additive risk metric  $q : \chi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ . A RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  in  $\chi^n$  is the  $q$ -proportional RS rule if and only if it satisfies the following axiom:

5.  $\mathbf{C}$  has strongly aggregate contribution-over- $q$  ratios.

Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks



Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

**$q$ -prop RS**

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks

## Weighted $q$ -proportional RS rule

For the weighted  $q$ -proportional RS rule, the contribution of participant  $i$  is

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}] = \frac{w_i q[X_i]}{\sum_{k=1}^n w_k q[X_k]} S_{\mathbf{X}},$$

where  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  are positive real numbers.

- Contribution depends on the aggregate loss, but also on participants' identities (through  $w_j$ 's) and their respective random losses (through  $q[X_j]$ 's).
- The  $w_j$ 's adjust risk metrics, the  $q[X_j]$ 's, to reflect
  - Data quality which affects trustworthiness.
  - Adjustment factor for model uncertainty.
- If  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  are not all equal, then weighted  $q$ -proportional RS rule fails reshuffling axiom 1 and source-anonymous contribution-over- $q$  ratios axiom 4, therefore, not a  $q$ -proportional RS rule.



## Modifying the axioms for $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS rule

Consider the risk metrics  $q_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  and  $q_2 : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Source-anonymous  $(q_1, q_2)$ -standardized contributions** The  $(q_1, q_2)$ -standardized contributions of a RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  are said to be source-anonymous if, for any pool  $\mathbf{X}$  and any of its reshuffles  $\mathbf{X}^\pi$ , the following conditions hold:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}^\pi] - q_1[X_{\pi(i)}] = \frac{q_2[X_{\pi(i)}, \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}]}{q_2[X_i, \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}]} (C_i[\mathbf{X}] - q_1[X_i]), \text{ for any } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

with  $q_2[X_i, \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}] \neq 0$ .

**Strongly aggregate  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based standardized contributions** A RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  has strongly aggregate  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based standardized contributions if there exists a function  $\mathbf{h} : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the relative contributions for any  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}^n$  with at least one  $q_2[X_j, \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}] \neq 0$  for at least one  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , can be expressed as:

$$C_i[\mathbf{X}] = q_1[X_i] + q_2[X_i, \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}] \cdot h_i(\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}, q_1[\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}], q_2[\mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{X}]) \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n.$$





Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous contributions

Aggregate and strongly aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks

## Characterizing the $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Consider the risk metrics  $q_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  and  $q_2 : \mathcal{X}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 5:** A RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  is the  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS rule if and only if it satisfies the following two axioms:

1.  $\mathbf{C}$  satisfies the reshuffling property.
6.  $\mathbf{C}$  has source-anonymous  $(q_1, q_2)$ -standardized contributions.

- Axioms 1 and 6 considered in Theorem 5 are independent.

**Theorem 6:** Let  $q_1[0] = 0$  and  $q_2[0, \cdot] = 0$ , and let both measures be additive in their first argument. Then a RS rule  $\mathbf{C}$  is the  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS rule if and only if it satisfies the following axiom:

7.  $\mathbf{C}$  has aggregated standardized contributions.



Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributionsAggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

 $q$ -prop RS $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks

## The covariance-based linear RS rule

Consider the covariance-based linear RS rule where we choose

$$q_1[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i] \quad q_2[X_i, \mathbf{S}_X] = \text{cov}[X_i, \mathbf{S}_X].$$

- It is easy to show that this RS rule has source-anonymous  $(q_1, q_2)$ -standardized contributions.
- It can also be shown that this has strongly aggregate  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based standardized contributions by writing the contribution formula as







$$\begin{aligned} C_i^{\text{cov}}[\mathbf{X}] &= \mathbb{E}[X_i] + \text{cov}(X_i, \mathbf{S}_X) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{S}_X - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{S}_X]}{\text{var}(\mathbf{S}_X)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_i] + \text{cov}(X_i, \mathbf{S}_X) \cdot h_i(\mathbf{S}_X, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{S}_X], \text{cov}(\mathbf{S}_X, \mathbf{S}_X)) \quad \text{for any } i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

## Concluding remarks

- This paper explores axiomatic characterizations of simple risk-sharing rules.
- We describe the uniform RS rule using three essential properties: (1) Reshuffling; (2) Source-anonymous contributions; and (3) Strongly aggregate contributions
- These elementary axioms form the basis for two other broader classes of RS rules:
  - the  $q$ -proportional RS rules and
  - the  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS rules.
- These axiomatic characterizations enable us to introduce new RS rules, such as the scenario-based RS rules, which provide for novel examples of the  $q$ -proportional RS rules and  $(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS rules.
  - Under these rules, risk-sharing adapts to predefined scenarios such as extreme or typical events, which allow for a more dynamic approach.
  - Innovation does not require probability knowledge, but relies instead on expert judgments or opinions.



## Selected references

-  Feng, R. (2023). *Decentralized Insurance: Technical Foundations of Business Models*. Springer Nature: Switzerland.
-  Borch, K. (1960). The safety loading of reinsurance premiums. *Scandinavian Actuarial Journal*. 3-4: 163-184.
-  Denuit, M. and Dhaene, J. (2012). Convex order and comonotonic conditional mean risk sharing. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*. 51: 265-270.
-  Denuit, M., Dhaene, J. and Robert CY (2022). Risk-sharing rules and their properties, with applications to peer-to-peer insurance. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*. 89(3): 615-667.
-  Feng, R., Liu, M., and Zhang, N. (2024). A unified theory of decentralized insurance. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*. 119:157–178.
-  Jiao, Z., Kou, S., Liu, Y., and Wang, R. (2022). An axiomatic theory for anonymized risk sharing. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.07533>.



Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks



- Thank you -

Introduction

Examples

Preliminaries

Risk-sharing

Examples

Literature

RS rules considered

Examples

Properties

Reshuffling

Source-anonymous  
contributions

Aggregate and strongly  
aggregate

Characterizations

Uniform RS

$q$ -prop RS

$(q_1, q_2)$ -based linear RS

Concluding remarks

Thanks